

REMARKS

Applicants have amended the claims to point out with more clarity the difference with the references. The limitations of claim 1 have been inserted into claims 4 and 5.

With respect to claim 4, Applicants have amended the claim to specify that the hierarchy is one of triggering actions, so that “centering”, the lower action, is within the scope of “passing”, the higher action, the soccer ball. Support is found on page 11, lines 7 - 14.

With respect to claim 5, Applicants point out that the claim refers to a composite triggering action composed of two related actions. Support is provided by the last paragraph in page 25; p.11, lines 15 - 20; and p. 13, lines 4 - 12.

Claims 24 - 27 specify more detail of the calculation which, as discussed above, is not taught by either reference.

Claims 28 - 34 are Beauregard claims that track the method claims they refer to.

Claims 35 - 40 add further limitations to claims 4 and 5.

